A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION





The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 54 No. 39	5th October 2018
IN THIS ISSUE	
Socialism and the Intellectuals By James Reed	1
The Christian Foundations of the Common Law: Passing of a Great Tradition By Ian Wilson LL. B	2
Ban Doctors, Not Guns By John Steele	3
The Socialist Good Eating Guide By James Reed	4

THOUGHT OF THE WEEK: "....Industrial Capitalism has broken down. It has broken down for a very simple arithmetical reason - it distributes less purchasing power than it creates. I am not going to speak of Major Douglas's scheme of Social Credit, because that is merely an indirect method of distributing property, which I prefer to achieve by direct means. Industrial Capitalism has broken down because it is producing an amount of wealth greater than it is distributing purchasing power for that wealth; and to put it crudely indeed, if I want to make a hundred thousand boots, or rather employ men to make those boots, by the time the boots are made I have distributed to the men who make them the money wherewith to purchase thirty thousand boots, and what am I to do with the seventy thousand boots left?"

(Cf. Hilaire Belloc's address of 26th of May 1933, as published in G.K'S. WEEKLY of the 8th of June, 1933.)

SOCIALISM AND THE INTELLECTUALS By James Reed

Over a glass of "turps" I was contemplating why intellectuals are socialists. The wisdom of the drink aided me to conclude that there is probably no singular reason, but rather a multitude of reasons ranging from being uniformed/ignorant useful idiots, to being at the low level of the global conspiracy. Those at these upper levels usually work for various think tanks, doing things like getting immigration information and producing propaganda to be used by the globalists in the media. They write the books and textbooks that educate the next generation; we don't.

Common though to all of these critters, is a sense of hatred and resentment, a rejection of the society and its traditions that gave them everything. Their education is used to destroy the society that produced them, and perverse as it is, they are not put on trial for treason, but given the highest rewards, while those foolish enough to oppose them, are rendered unemployed. Unfortunately, our side of politics, being fundamentally intellectually challenged, do not understand social dynamics and the role that ideas play in propagating ideology, so, there is ultimately little challenge to the *status quo* of ideas because there is no cultivation of intellectuals by our side. This is because our side is too cash-strapped and too mean. Compare this to Soros who has the money to spend, and is generous in getting the job done. My guess is that even if our side of politics had the money, we would still have the same problems of getting the job done due to this basic Scroogeness. The price paid by thinkers for supporting us is so great, that few are willing to risk destitution. I don't blame them. Hence, in the longer term there will be no opposition thinkers and writers to oppose the march of the cultural Marxists, and our battles will all be for nothing.

Consequently, there is no real challenge within establishment channels to dogmas centred around race, diversity, migration and gender, let alone finance and economics, only fringe work that gets minor viewing, and ultimate censoring if it does get more. Further, the establishment does not require its propaganda producers in the academy to produce scientific proof, so as we have seen in article after article, academics simply say what they like, to impressionable students, and the system is perpetuated. Indeed, the whole idea of such proof and giving evidence is rejected by the postmodernists as a form of white male oppressive action reinforcing patriarchy.

Hence, it is inevitable that doctrines such as socialist, despite being discredited by history, continue to grow at universities. The culture of the place, much like a severely degraded pasture, is only suitable for the growing of intellectual weeds. Eventually though, the pasture is destroyed for any hope of fertile growth.

THE CHRISTIAN FOUNDATIONS OF THE COMMON LAW: PASSING OF A GREAT TRADITION By Ian Wilson LL. B

Augusto Zimmerman has just published a very solid piece of jurisprudence, *Christian Foundations of the Common Law, Volume 2, The United States,* (Connor Court Publishing, 2018). It is excellent that in these dark and degenerate times, there are still scholars, and publishers investigating the Christian traditions that gave us the modern world, and the liberties that unfortunately are disappearing by the day. But, unless we have some idea of what the truth is, we will live forever in a world of unending error.

The book covers a vast array of American historical material, which may not be necessary to summarise for our readership, since the fundamental argument would be accepted by most of us. The textual evidence from the writings of the American founding Fathers, such as Benjamin Franklin, George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, Alexander Hamilton, James Madison and John Adams, indicates that Christian faith motivated these men to do what they did and write what they wrote.

A good example to take here is Thomas Jefferson, whom the atheists claim as one of their own. But, Jefferson was not opposed to the belief in God, but only to the establishment of a national church, being committed to individual liberty. It is shown that Jefferson was in fact a Christian, and not an atheist.

The American constitution is also shown to be founded upon Christian moral principles, which refutes the idea that this document is a secular document because, unlike other nation's constitutions, it does not explicitly mention God. But, Christian principles are woven into the basic philosophical foundations of the document, in expounding the moral values of freedom, justice and the common good.

https://allthingsliberty.com/2016/02/

why-god-is-in-the-declaration-but-not-the-constitution/

All of this is excellent, but, if this was the examination of a student thesis one would ask: where is the detailed examination of the common law, the way law is regarded by courts, that part of law derived from custom and judicial precedence rather than statute? There is some mention in the text, but the book only gets its teeth into this question in the last chapter, "The Threat of Judicial Activism," a brief chapter, pp. 206-216. It is clear from Roe v Wade, which justified abortion on demand via the constitution, to the same sex marriage decision in Obergefell v Hodges (2015), the US Supreme Court is making up the law as it goes, according to politically correct ideology. Simply reject the constitutional principle of originalism, finding meaning according to the original intention of the Founding Fathers, and substitute a living interpretation, that the values of today rule, and the elites get what they want. Ultimately, anything goes. The bitter battle in America at the moment over the appointment of Brett Kavanaugh, an originalist, reflects this battle, perhaps America's final one before an inevitable Civil War 2.0.

The problem only touched on in this otherwise fine book, is that the takeover of the courts, like the universities and other institutions of society by the cultural Marxist elites, has led to the virtual abandonment of the Christian common law tradition. This is seen most evidently in the astonishing cases coming from Europe, where not only has the rule of law seemingly broken down, but what remains of the system is used against critics, usually white, who are imprisoned for speaking out. No good will come from this.

ETHICS AND THE HARVESTING OF FOETAL TISSUE By Mrs Vera West

I came across an abstract of a paper that seemed to be mounting a case against the harvesting of foetal tissue in medicine. The paper looks at the moral question of the use of foetal tissue from elective abortions, where otherwise the tissue would have been discarded. Such situations always gets the utilitarians going; does this not result in a benefit/utility to society, because of the benefits of vaccines? Why waste it? I must admit, not being a quick thinking intellectual, I would probably have been stumped if an opponent hit me with that reply in a public debate. So, what is the objection?

https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00243639.2017.1375065

"Each medical benefit or scientific advance from the use of foetal tissue from elective abortions desensitizes beneficiaries, scientists, and doctors to the original evil act that produced these cells. Aborted foetal tissues used in laboratories are minimized to merely human cells, and the human beings whose lives were taken to provide those cells become irrelevant and with time forgotten. Of greatest concern is that desensitization ultimately leads to scandal by erroneously validating elective abortions for a greater good. Without careful oversight, the foetus could become, like foetal tissue cell lines, merely cells, cultured within the uterus for scientific exploration. All people of good conscience have the responsibility to voice opposition to the use of foetal tissue from elective abortions in order to promote development of alternatives, affirm the value of all human life, and limit scandal."

In other words, we should not get on the utilitarian train in the first place. Doing so, and accepting these alleged benefits begins the desensitising process to how the original tissue arose, and that is the real moral point, which is, opposing abortion.

ALOR NATIONAL SEMINAR 6th & 7th OCTOBER LOOKING BACK...THEN MOVING FORWARD FOR FREEDOM

RSVP BY 28TH SEPTEMBER TO

HERITAGE BOOKSHOP, PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159 08-8387 6574 heritagebooks@alor.org

Bill Daly, SEEING REALITY BEYOND THE VEIL

Bill believes there is no hope for civilisation without a return to the practical Christianity that built Europe, and a total rejection of the Christ-hating puritan-liberalism that has dominated the West's instutions, including the organised church.

Dr. M. Oliver Heydorn,

SOCIAL CREDIT AND THE BASIC INCOME MOVEMENT Dr. M. Oliver Heydorn and four other Social Crediters presented papers at the North American Basic Income Conference in Hamilton, Ontario. Drawing on this recent experience, Dr. Heydorn will explore various aspects of the dialogue which Social Crediters hope to establish with Basic Income Supporters.

David d'Lima JP, THE CROWN IN THE 21ST CENTURY As National Secretary of the Australian Monarchist League, David d'Lima will describe the challenges facing our system of Constitutional Monarchy, and suggest how loyalist Australians can help defend and then promote the Crown at the heart of our civic

72nd New Times Dinner

incorporating the Frank Bawden Memorial Dinner

NATIONAL WEEKEND GIFT INVITATION

The young are looking for answers so the League is prepared to sponsor a "gift invitation" to the Seminar. **CONSIDER A \$50.00 GIFT INVITATION** AS SEEDING FOR OUR FUTURE!

ADELAIDE EVENT DETAILS HERE:

Public Schools Club:

207 East Terrace, Adelaide, Phone: (08) 8223 3213

LAUNCESTON DINNER DETAILS HERE:

For those close to Launceston, Mr Bill Daly will be at the Barbeque Room, NewStead Hotel, 160 ELPHIN Rd, Launceston for an informal meal and gathering starting at 6.00 pm with the formal event beginning at 7.30 pm on Monday 8th October 2018.

Ring Rod for further details: H 03 6367 8173.

MELBOURNE LUNCH DETAILS HERE:

For those close to Melbourne, Mr Bill Daly, will be at the Mail Exchange Hotel for lunch at 12 Noon on Tuesday 9th October 2018.

Ring (03) 9903 6880 to book a seat for "Don's Lunch".

BAN DOCTORS, NOT GUNS By John Steele

If we follow the gun banners idea of banning the instrument that is used to commit harm, then these pinkos run into a problem when confronted with the sky-high rate of medical negligence, compared to gun deaths.

https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/news/media/releases/study suggests medical errors now third leading cause of death in the us

"Analyzing medical death rate data over an eightyear period, Johns Hopkins patient safety experts have calculated that more than 250,000 deaths per year are due to medical error in the U.S. Their figure, published May 3 in The BMJ, surpasses the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC's) third leading cause of death — respiratory disease, which kills close to 150,000 people per year. The Johns Hopkins team says the CDC's way of collecting national health statistics fails to classify medical errors separately on the death certificate. The researchers are advocating for updated criteria for classifying deaths on death certificates.

"Incidence rates for deaths directly attributable to medical care gone awry haven't been recognized in any standardized method for collecting national statistics," says Martin Makary, M.D., M.P.H., professor of surgery at the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine and an authority on health reform. "The medical coding system was designed to maximize billing for physician services, not to collect national health statistics, as it is currently being used."

Then it is settled; the Left must drop their concerns with gun banning and embrace alternative natural health, and go after allopathic medicine. We dream on.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

To The Age Contrary to the claims by Irene Goldwasser (26/9), Australia Day can be seen as very much more than 'a constant reminder to our First Nation people of white invasion' and its 'sad consequences'. It rightly and honourably celebrates the day when Britain, our mother nation, established its authority here, as a result of which we all enjoy the infrastructure and facilities of a viable modern nation. Australians of all backgrounds should feel pride in this achievement and

gratitude to our mainly British pioneers. It is untrue, too, that the day 'has little meaning for millions of people from different cultures.' On the contrary, ignoring the exaggeration there, many immigrants from non-British backgrounds are happy to be a part of the free and prosperous nation that has developed so well thanks to the religion, political ideals and legal protections that the British brought here. They are not sympathetic to separatist white-anting. Nigel Jackson, Vic

ARE WOMEN ATTRACTED TO BENEVOLENTLY SEXIST MEN? By Mrs Vera West

Women are attracted to "benevolently sexist" men, according to psychological research. Whenever I read those words, I go on red alert. What does it mean?

https://www.livescience.com/63623-why-women-like-sexist-men.html?utm_source=ls-newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=20180922-ls

"If a man offers to help a woman with her heavy suitcase or to parallel park her car, what should she make of the offer? Is it an innocuous act of courtesy? Or is it a sexist insult to her strength and competence? Social psychologists who describe this behavior as "benevolent sexism" firmly favor the latter view. But researchers have also revealed a paradox: Women prefer men who behave in ways that could be described as benevolently sexist over those who don't. How could this be? Some say that women simply fail to see the ways benevolent sexism undermines them

because they're misled by the flattering tone of this brand of kindness. Psychologists have even suggested that benevolent sexism is more harmful than overtly hostile sexism because it is insidious, acting like "a wolf in sheep's clothing."

As social psychologists, we had reservations about these conclusions. Aren't women sophisticated enough to be able to tell when a man is being patronizing?"

What if she can't lift the heavy suitcase... oh, wait, there are no sex differences in strength or anything else, I forgot. The answer is simple here; in the war zone that feminists have created, men should openly embrace this benevolent sexism, once known as chivalry, and let natural selection prune out the genetic types that embrace feminism, improving the resultant gene pool, in the long term.

THE SOCIALIST GOOD EATING GUIDE By James Reed

Notice how in each of our cities there are always plenty of free glossy magazines around, say at community centres, usually dealing with food? How the chattering class love their food, almost as much as the chardonnay, drunk while wailing about white privilege. That's just how it goes in cultural Marxist utopias, such as Australia.

Venezuela has an even more advanced culinary culture, embodying the very best of socialist values:

https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2018-09-20/

socialist-venezuela-poor-starve-death-while-politically-powerful-feast

"The socialist policies of the Venezuela government continue to impose a living hell on its people. Hyperinflation has turned its currency to literal garbage. Mortality rates have skyrocketed for groups such as infants, pregnant mothers, and the elderly as clinics have shut down and medicine grown scarce. Food has disappeared from store shelves forcing the population to consume pets and zoo animals. A recent poll found that 78% of Venezuelans "reported trouble keeping themselves fed."

Of course, this is not true for all Venezuelans. Earlier this week President Nicolas Maduro was seen in a plush Istanbul restaurant lavishly dining on expensive steaks while smoking fine cigars, the check paid for by the wealth his regime has drained from his people. Once again we see that under socialism "all animals are equal, but some animals are more equal than others."

While the outrage over Maduro's obtuse opulence is well deserved, it serves as only a gaudy reminder of how socialism actually operates in the real world. The more controlled an economy becomes, the more it benefits those with power. While less obvious than Maduro's dinner prepared by celebrity chefs, we've

seen this play out throughout the country. The regime understands that its survival depends upon keeping the guns of government pointed at the people, and not at themselves. As such, the country has fallen into rule by corruption, with the police and military being offered access to food and supplies in exchange for their loyalty."

Study Venezuela closely because it is a blue print for how the West will end, only with mass migration, the end of the West is set to be much more violent. Our coverage of Europe has shown this, but on the home front, coming perhaps soon to your quiet green leafy street.



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org

blog.alor.org

thecross-roads.org

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a. NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044 **A/c No.** 188-040-840 **or by cheques directed to:**

'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)' or on the Veritasbooks.com.au website:

https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions "On Target" is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy.

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574 **email:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Head Office Hours - Mon., Tues., Wed. 09.00am - 3.00pm